

Joan Girling Ref No 20026021
Summary of my Written Response
on DCO for Sizewell C
To Infrastructure Planning Committee
Examining Authority
13-5-2021

1) Preamble

Refers to Government Policy and the need to review of EN1 and EN6. Quoting that Sizewell is a potential site. Judging the site on Policies which are about to be reviewed.

2) Policy and Need

Refers to 3.2 Gigawatts of generating capacity which is not a proven need.

3) EN6

The DCO Plans for Sizewell C, do not compare to the EN6 consideration for Sizewell as a potential site.

4) Proposed Sizewell C Site

Lack of information from the Applicant, maps are less than helpful. Size and space needed for two reactors.

5) Geology of SZC Site

Not enough information on the required excavation, disposal of materials and effect on underlying water courses

6) Remoteness of Site

Proving that Sizewell C site too remote, which causes much of the surrounding road and rail building in particular the Proposed Access Road.

7) The Access Road Crossing Facility SSSI and Water Courses

A great point of contention and a major Planning matter.

8) Seaborne Traffic, Coastal Sea defences and Flooding Risks

Road boundary adjacent with RSPB Minsmere. Probability of sea incursion.

9) Transport Strategy

a) Traffic in Leiston –cum – Sizewell, Consideration for traffic movements in and around the area is woefully inadequate.

b) Traffic in the Surrounding Area, Loss of valuable Rights of Way and farm land. This is very much a sticking plaster exercise.

In conclusion As a Local person of over 60 years I request to the Examining Authority to recommend a refusal of the Sizewell C DCO to the Secretary of State in the fullness of time.

Joan Girling and on behalf of my partner Michael Taylor

[REDACTED]

Westward Ho! Leiston Suffolk [REDACTED]